

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ) FOR PREQUALIFYING PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDERS FOR THE CITY OF FAIRHOPE

MAYOR
Timothy M. Kant, Mayor
FAIRHOPE CITY COUNCIL
Jack Burrell, Council President

ver. 12-09-13

CITY OF FAIRHOPE, AL

(Ongoing advertisement for Prequalification of Professional Services)

The City of Fairhope of Baldwin County, Alabama, is requesting professional consulting, design, or service firms to submit Letters of Interest (LOI) and Statements of Qualifications (SOQ) for evaluation for inclusion on a list of pregualified professional service providers.

The City of Fairhope is an Equal Opportunity Employer and requires that all vendors comply with the Equal Employment Opportunity laws. The City also encourages and supports the participation and utilization of Minority Business Enterprises on this and all public solicitations.

Services will be obtained on an as-needed basis and the City makes no representations as to the actual amount of service, if any, to be obtained from any particular firm.

Neither the City nor its representatives shall be liable for any expenses incurred in connection with the preparation of a response to the RFQ. Respondents should prepare their submittals simply and economically, providing a straightforward and concise description of the respondent's ability to meet the requirements of the RFQ.

1.0 <u>INSTRUCTIONS TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDERS WHO WANT TO BE ADDED TO OUR PREQUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL PROVIDERS LIST</u>

- 1.0.1 Submittal of Letter Of Interest (LOI) and Statement Of Qualifications (SOQ) should include, but is not limited to, the following:
- 1.0.1.1 Letter of interest (LOI) signed by a principal of the professional service provider, stating a brief summary of the intent of the requester.
- 1.0.1.2 Name of firm, address and telephone number. A primary contact with phone number and email shall also be provided.
- 1.0.1.3 Prequalification areas being requested and copy of current ALDOT prequalification letter if applicable. Firms that are not pre-qualified by ALDOT should include up to two pages of qualifications and experience for each area of prequalification they are requesting the City to evaluate. Additionally, for the other pre-qualification areas the City has identified, firms should include no more than two pages of qualifications and experience for the areas the firm is requesting the City to evaluate.
- 1.0.1.4 Names, qualifications, and experience of a principal / owner (contractual authority), a municipal services project manager, and key personnel (Task Manager) who would be assigned to a City of Fairhope project. This information (a one page limit for each) should include but not be limited to the following for each individual:
 - 1.0.1.4.1 Number and type of projects in which each individual has been involved (no project should be more than five years old).
 - 1.0.1.4.2 Key personnel for the appropriate disciplines (maximum of five individuals). Respondents shall further provide proof of all professional licenses held by each of the foregoing primary individuals and note whether each primary individual is in good standing with the agencies issuing such licenses. This proof should include all professional licenses necessary to do work for / in the City of Fairhope, Alabama.
- 1.0.1.5 Number and composition of staff that is **readily available** for City of Fairhope projects, including a table of organization by name to key personnel. Composition of staff should be broken into professional, designers, and technicians. Description of the firm's ability to generally respond to the City's project needs.

- 1.0.1.6 Name of responsible firm member and a Municipal Services Project Manager. (This individual is <u>key</u> to the firms prequalification. It is the City's expectation that this individual will be available to manage any project for which the firm may be selected. The only acceptable reason for this person to not be available for a Fairhope contract is the individual is no longer with the firm. It is the professional service provider's responsibility to advise the City of any changes in this individual's ability to be available for a Fairhope contract).
- 1.0.1.7 A statement detailing the firm's Quality Assurance Program.
- 1.0.1.8 Qualifications of any sub-consultant(s) used to obtain qualification in the various areas are to be included in the firm's Statement of Qualifications (SOQ).
- 1.0.1.9 Respondents may provide any additional information the respondents feel would be necessary for or relevant to the City's review of their submissions.

PREQUALIFICATION CATEGORIES

The areas of prequalification for the City of Fairhope are listed below. Please check each area for which your firm desires evaluation, and include this page in your SOQ.

AREAS OF PREQUALIFICATION

Engineering firms that are pre-qualified by the Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT) shall automatically be pre-qualified in the same or similar areas by the City of Fairhope. If the firm has not been pre-qualified by ALDOT, the City shall determine the area(s) of prequalification upon completion of review of the firms SOQ. The areas of prequalification for the City of Fairhope are listed below:

DOT Prequalification areas:

| 1. | Non Complex/Complex Highway/Roadway Design |
|----|--|
| 2. | Non Complex/Complex Structure Design |
| 3. | Minor Bridge Inspection |
| 4. | Subsurface and Foundation Design |

- 5. __Traffic Signal/ Traffic Signal System Design
- 6. __Construction Administration and Inspection
- 7. __Right-of-way Plan Development
- 8. __Environmental Studies/Assessments
- 9. __Geotechnical/Testing Services
- 10. __Highway Environmental Studies

ADDITIONAL PREQUALIFICATION AREAS:

Architectural Engineering Services:

- 1. __General, services as needed
- 2. __Plan Review Services Residential & Commercial
- 3. Construction Management/Inspection Services
- 4. __ Landscape Architecture and Urban Design

Civil Engineering:

- 1. General, services as needed
- 2. __Survey
- 3. __Landscape
- 4. __Geographic Information System (GIS)
- 5. __Transportation Studies (Traffic Impact Analysis)
- 6. Storm Water Management/Design
- 7. __Water Resources Management/Design
- 8. __Sanitary Sewer Management/Design
- 9. __Civil Site Design
- 10. __Water System Management/Design
- 11. __Gas System Management/Design
- 12. Transmission/Distribution Electrical System Management/Design

| 13Industrial Electrical Design/System Analysis/Performance14Electrical System Management/Design15Analysis/Performance | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Structural Engineering 1General, services as needed 2Plan Review Services — Residential & Commercial 3Construction Management/Inspection Services | | | | |
| Land Surveying 1General, services as needed 2Topographical 3Industrial | | | | |
| CPA/Financial Services 1Audits 2Actuarial Services 3Utility Rate Analysis | | | | |
| Attorneys | | | | |
| Codification of Municipal Ordinances | | | | |
| Computer Programmers/Consultants | | | | |
| Grants and Grant Writing | | | | |
| Greenway, AL Trail Planning and Design | | | | |
| Human Resources Consultants | | | | |
| Insurance Brokers | | | | |
| Planning | | | | |
| Real Estate Appraisers | | | | |
| Technology Consultants | | | | |
| Zoning | | | | |
| Other Professional Services as needed | | | | |

EVALUATION OF STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

- 1. Evaluations of SOQ and ultimate selection of pre-qualified consultants utilize "Exhibit A", Qualification Statement Evaluation, included with this document as information only.
- 2. Evaluations of proposals among the City's pre-qualified consultants for <u>specific projects</u> will utilize "Exhibit B", Proposal Evaluation Form, included with this document as information only.

WHERE TO SUBMIT LETTER OF INTENT AND STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

Submittals, questions and comments will be received by the City of Fairhope Purchasing Manager at:

Mailing address: City of Fairhope Daniel P. Ames, Purchasing Manager, P.O. Drawer 429 Fairhope, Al 36533 Email address: Dan.ames@cofairhope.com

Phone: 251-928-8003

EXHIBIT A

QUALIFICATION STATEMENT EVALUATION FORM

| QUALIFICATIONS FOR: | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| FIRM NAME: | | |
| REVIEWER: | | |
| DATE: | | |
| | | |
| CATEGORY | CRITERIA | RATING X WEIGHT = SCORE |
| FIRM AND INDIVIDUAL QUALIFICATIONS | Number of years in business | 2 |
| QUALIFICATIONS | Number of years in business | 2 |
| | Competence of the firm to perform the required Services as indicated by: technical training and education of firm's personnel | 5 |
| | Experience of the firm's personnel assigned to perform the work | 5 |
| LOCATION OF FIRM | | 5 |
| CAPACITY TO PERFORM WORK | Ability of the firm to perform the required service competently and expeditiously, and the availability of necessary personnel | 5 |
| REFERENCES | Past performance of the firm as exemplified by past work with the City of Fairhope or other Clients (provide references) with respect to such factors as: Quality of design | 3 |
| | Technical innovation | 5 |
| | Meeting schedules and deadlines | 5 |
| | Controlling project costs/meeting budgets | 5 |
| | v project | |
| | Communication and Cooperation | 5 |
| | TOTAL POINTS (of possible 225) | |

Rating: 1-poor, 2-fair, 3-good, 4-excellent, 5-superior Weighting factors (1-5) can be adjusted for each Contract according to the need and priorities of the City of Fairhope

EXHIBIT B

PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

| PROPOSAL EVALUATION | | |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| PROFESSIONAL SERVICE PROVIDER | | |
| PROJECT | | |
| EVALUATOR | | |
| DATE | | |
| CATEGORY | CRITERIA | RATING X WEIGHT=SCORE |
| TECHNICAL APPROACH | Understanding of Project and basic scope of services | 5 |
| | Additions or deletions to the basic scope of services | 3 |
| | Understanding of unique conditions of the Project | 3 |
| | Technical approach to the Project | 4 |
| | Project Schedule | 3 |
| | Key equipment or Resources that assists in performance of work | e 2 |
| PROJECT TEAM | Consultant's and Sub-Consultant's Experience on similar Projects Working relationship with Project Team (Consultant Sub-consultants) | ar 5 3 |
| | Project Manager's Experience on similar Projects | 4 |
| | Key Task Manager's Experience on Similar Projects | 5 |
| | Location of Key Staff Members (Consultant and Sub-Consultants) | 4 |
| PAST PERFORMANCE | Quality of Consultant's/Sub-Consultant's past work (similar size and/or scope) | 5 |
| | Consultant's/Sub-Consultant's ability to meet Project Schedule | 4 |
| | Project Manager's ability to coordinate Project Consultant's/Sub-consultant's Success in controlling | 4 |
| | Project costs Consultant's/Project Manager's ability to communicate | 5 |
| | Effectively with agency | 5 |
| | TOTAL POINTS (OF POSSIBLE 320) | |

Rating: 1-poor, 2-fair, 3-good, 4-excellent, 5-superior

Weighting Factors (1-5) can be adjusted for each Contract according to the need and priorities of the City of Fairhope